**Omarova Patimat ,**

**1-st year master student**

**at the National Research University**

**“Higher School of Economics”**

**Scientific director : ALAN WESTON CAFRUNY** Henry Platt Bristol Professor of International Affairs(Department of Government Hamilton College) HSE

**A formation of a new era of regional competitive economic blocs.**

**A transition of power and creation of a new configuration of international relations in the form of regional integration on the Ukrainians crisis example.**

**1*.The formation of new era of regional economic blocs and union.***

*Events in Ukraine can be considered not just as a regional conflict, but as a reflection of global changes in the system of international relations that occurred after the world financial crisis. These changes have altered the perception of nature of integration associations, the direction of the development of regionalism and globalization, understanding of the state, it is role in the modern system as an actor. Contradictions between the European Union and the Eurasian Union, creation of the Chinese economic integration project of the Silk Road, the rise of emerging market(like BRIC)- these all display the dismantling of the international system and formation a new one.* *All these marked a new era of regional economic blocs and union.*

***2. Diffusion and concentration of power****.*

*If globalization has created the concept of the diffusion of power[[1]](#footnote-1), and has spawned a large number of actors, the supranational economic integration tends to concentrate power in order to consolidate all this actors. Joseph S. Nye, Jr. argues that the challenge of foreign policy will be the diffusion of power from states to non-government actors. It was right for unstable post- hegemonic system of international relations, and it was a phenomenon of a short-term period of the transition state of the system. “The world has entered the great transition from the short-lived post Cold War international system to a new, unprecedented configuration of international relations” (Grevi, 2009:7)But in current relations, this S. Nye’s claim does not reflect reality.* *“The world has entered the great transition from the short-lived post Cold War international system to a new, unprecedented configuration of international relations” (Grevi, 2009:7)*

*3.* ***Concentration of power in the form of economic integration is the main threat for international system****.*

*The concentration of power in form of regional blocks and the transition of power from West to Eurasian (not to China , but to Eurasia, supported by China and another emerging markets ,) recovery of Russia is the main threat for international system and international security, because it leads to a clash of different types of regime –democratic and authorial.*

*4* ***Events in Ukraine are a clear example of the threats to the nation-state in the modern system of international relation, based on neoliberal approach****.*

*At the moment, we can observe the competition of regional economic entities to which the influence of the state as an actor decreases.* *Small states are not able to protect its sovereignty; the international system does not provide measures to promote this protection. Events in Kosovo, Arabic Spring , Ukraine, demonstrative example of what a modern system does not protect the country's territorial integrity.*

*Basing on these statement, the author of these article claims and predicts that new world order will be based on transition of power from countries, which will struggle from diffusion of power to an economic blocks that will concentrate power .*

*2.* ***System crisis – is a new opportunities for Putin to reestablish world order.***

***The decline of the West .*** *The amplification of RF is largely started due to the global transformation and to the deepening of crisis of the system. The crisis of Western ideology, the crisis of the financial system especially clearly manifested in 2008. Events in Ukraine demonstrated another crisis-a crisis of European integration, that integration which used to be considered as exemplary.*

*3* ***The decline of U.S. hegemony. Inability to change the situation***

*Globalization and interdependence of markets do not allow to impose significant sanctions on Russia. The system of international relations can significantly limit the powerful states. And the inability of international institutions to change the course of events shows that the world order is ineffective*

*Russia's behavior policy marked a failure of McFaul conception . Further strengthening Putin is a failure of Obama's policies . Obama still believes in the concept of American leadership in a multipolar world , and instead proposes the creation of the military NATO - economic transatlantic partnership . There is a direct relationship between the image of the United States and the implementation of the Trans-Atlantic partnership. This project is under the question as questionable ability of the Obama administration's to influence on international processes . In any case , the West was convinced in efficiency dialogue with Russia. There is a risk for Russia to be isolated economically backward countries, which will lead to political instability and to the regime's downfall*

*4* The **Sunset of globalization, initiated the development of creating new- world economies.**

*Eurasian Union will not be a replacement of the Soviet economic model , but its occurrence in the future will change the map of the CIS. Sanctions will not be the instrument of deterrence, they only intensify the confrontation, tear existing economic relationship and will consolidate integration processes of Eurasian integration.*

*5 The Russian Federation currently has a policy that does not match its economic situation. While reforming the international system imposed by the West, Russia undermines chair on which she is sitting .*

*6 But we should not take these processes as the decline of U.S. hegemony .Rather, the events in the Crimea is the result of political infighting between neokon and Obama. Globalization and interdependence of international processes are the reason for conflicts in the mutual influence. Conflict in Ukraine will cause revision Syrian conflict and the possibility of new conflicts.*

*Globalization is not just the interdependence of markets, but also depending on political regimes. At this stage, there is a domino effect, a strategy aimed on changing the regime in Russia.*

*Neocons in the face McCain , a course of a domino effect , the change of authoritarian regimes change Russia's position in the international arena . The Arab Spring , the overthrow of Yanukovich had to show vulnerability and precariousness of the Eurasian model based on authorial model.*

*But this stage of the revolutionary events had the opposite effect : the result of the collapse of steel and instability , thereby strengthening the position of the Russian Federation.6*

*Conclusion:* ***Pax-American world order is replacing by the new system of International Relations. It is distinguished by the formation and competition of regional blocs, the value of the state as an actor falls***

1. Joseph S. Nye assumes this concept in his book “Future of Power.” [↑](#footnote-ref-1)